



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,326	07/07/2003	Dean A. Klein	MTIPAT.074C1D2	9353

20995 7590 10/11/2005

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, THAN VINH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2187

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

He

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/615,326	Applicant(s) KLEIN, DEAN A.	
	Examiner Than Nguyen	Art Unit 2187	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/21/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/21/05 has been entered.
2. Claims 1-11,13-21 are pending.
3. The IDS, filed 7/21/05, has been considered.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to the prior art Baba, Applicant argues that Baba does not teach interfacing a state decoder with a memory controller and controlling the bus switch to reduce data bus capacitance. The Examiner disagrees. Applicant claims "interfacing a state decoder with a memory controller". This limitation is interpreted interacting between the state decoder and memory controller. Baba teaches this interaction (circuit 20 interacts with controller 24; Fig. 3, 5/47-63). Applicant claims the state decoder controls the bus switch, resulting in a reduced data bus capacitance, but does not give any details as what this means or how it is accomplished. Baba teaches the state decoder circuit 20 interacts/communicates with switching circuit 26. Since Baba also teaches the claimed state controller controlling the bus switch, the Examiner maintains that Baba's teaching of controlling the bus switch will also have the expected result of

Art Unit: 2187

reduced data capacitance. It should be noted that there is no limitation on how the data capacitance is reduced, just that it is a result of the bus switching.

5. As to the prior art Wiggers, Applicant argues that Wiggers does not teach interfacing a state decoder with a memory controller, where the state decoder controls the bus switch to reduce data bus capacitance. The Examiner disagrees. Wiggers teaches a state decoder controller 21 interfacing/interacting with memory controller (main board 25) and controlling the bus switch to reduce bus capacitance (4/25-37, 53-60). It should be noted that there is no limitation on how the data capacitance is reduced, just that it is a result of the bus switching.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1,3,4,5,7,8,10,11,13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b/e) as being anticipated by Baba (US 5,303,192) OR Wiggers (US 6,011,710).

As to claim 1,5:

7. Baba teaches a semiconductor memory device and its connection. Baba teaches the claimed method of making a memory module comprising: attaching at least one memory integrated circuit to a printed circuit board (semiconductor memory 1; Fig. 2,3), said printed circuit board comprising data bus contacts on a portion thereof (data bus 214 Fig. 3; 4/43-50; 5/47-63); coupling said data bus contacts on said printed circuit board to data bus terminals on said memory integrated circuit through a bus switch (switching circuit 26, Fig. 2,3; 4/43-55, 5/47-63); and interfacing a state decoder (bus line switching circuit 20) with a memory controller (controller 24), wherein the state decoder selectively controls the bus switch to reduce data capacitance (Fig. 3, 4/43-55; 5/47-63 effect/result of bus switching).

Art Unit: 2187

8. Wiggers a memory system and method for reducing memory capacitance. Wiggers teaches the claimed method of making a memory module comprising: attaching at least one memory integrated circuit to a printed circuit board (semiconductor memory 22, Fig. 3,4), said printed circuit board comprising data bus contacts on a portion thereof (data bus 23) Fig. 3; 4/44-50); coupling said data bus contacts on said printed circuit board to data bus terminals on said memory integrated circuit through a bus switch (switches 294 Fig. 3,44 4/44-6/11); and interfacing a state decoder (memory controller 21) with a memory controller (main board 25), wherein the state decoder selectively controls the bus switch to reduce data capacitance (4/25-30,53-60).

As to claim 3,7:

9. Baba teaches interfacing a state decoder with the bus switch (control circuit 6,24; Fig. 2,3).

10. Wiggers teaches interfacing a state decoder with the bus switch (control terminal 37; Fig. 4; 5/40-50).

As to claim 4,8:

11. Baba teaches the state decoder is structured to decode at least one control gate and control the bus switch in response thereto (the control circuit controls the bus switch 5; 5/1-10; 6/50-60).

12. Wiggers teaches the state decoder is structured to decode at least one control gate and control the bus switch in response thereto (control terminal controls the bus switch; 5/40-50).

As to claim 10:

Art Unit: 2187

13. Baba teaches the bus switch is external to the memory integrated circuit (Fig. 2,3).

14. Wiggers teaches the bus switch is external to the memory integrated circuit (Fig. 4)

As to claim 11:

15. Baba teaches the method of making a memory integrated circuit comprising the acts of connecting data input terminals to an input portion of a bus switch; connecting an output portion of said bus switch to a data input buffer; and coupling an output of said data input buffer to a memory storage circuit (Fig. 2,3); and interfacing a state decoder (bus line switching circuit 20) with a memory controller (controller 24), wherein the state decoder selectively controls the bus switch to reduce data capacitance (Fig. 3; 4/43-55; 5/47-63; effect/result of bus switching).

16. Wiggers teaches the method of making a memory integrated circuit comprising the acts of: connecting data input terminals to an input portion of a bus switch; connecting an output portion of said bus switch to a data input buffer; coupling an output of said data input buffer to a memory storage circuit (Fig. 4); and interfacing a state decoder (memory controller 21) with a memory controller (main board 25), wherein the state decoder selectively controls the bus switch to reduce data capacitance (4/25-30,53-60).

As to claim 13:

17. Baba teaches the bus switch electrically removes a portion of the data bus associated with unaccessed memory circuits (only use nondefective/unaccessed circuit 5/1-10).

18. Wiggers teaches the bus switch electrically removes a portion of the data bus associated with unaccessed memory circuits (decouple bus when memory is not used/accessed; 6/5-10).

As to claim 14:

Art Unit: 2187

19. Wiggers teaches capacitance of the memory circuits is associated with the data bus (6/5-11).

As to claim 15:

20. Baba teaches the memory integrated circuit comprises the bus switch 26 (Fig. 3).

21. Wiggers teaches the memory integrated circuit comprises the bus switch 29 (Fig. 3).

As to claim 16,17:

22. Baba teaches the memory integrated circuit comprises the state decoder (control 24; Fig. 3).

23. Wiggers teaches the memory integrated circuit comprises the state decoder (memory controller 21; Fig. 3).

As to claim 18:

24. Baba teaches the state decoder is located within the memory integrated circuit (control 24; Fig. 3).

25. Wiggers teaches the state decoder is located within the memory integrated circuit (controller 21; Fig. 3).

26. As to claim 19:

27. Baba teaches the bus switch is located within the memory integrated circuit (switch 26; Fig. 3).

28. Wiggers teaches the bus switch is located within the memory integrated circuit (switch 29; Fig. 3)

As to claim 20:

Art Unit: 2187

29. Baba teaches interfacing the state decoder with the bus switch (control 24 is connected/interfaced with circuit 20).

30. Wiggers teaches interfacing the state decoder with the bus switch (control 21 is connected/interfaced with board 25).

As to claim 21:

31. Baba teaches the state decoder is structured to decode at least one control gate and control the bus switch (controller 24 controlled by address signal; 5/47-62).

32. Wiggers teaches the state decoder is structured to decode at least one control gate and control the bus switch (controller 21 controlled by control signal; 4/50-60; 5/52-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

33. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

34. Claims 2,6,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baba (US 5,303,192) OR Wiggers (US 6,011,710).

As to claim 2,6:

35. Baba does not specifically teach the memory integrated circuit comprises synchronous DRAM but does teaches the memory may be formed by other memory elements such as DRAM/SRAM such as SRAM (10/35-40). It is well-known in the art at the time of the invention was made that SDRAM is a common substitute for DRAM/SRAM, depending on the

Art Unit: 2187

application. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to substitute another memory, such as SDRAM, for the DRAM/SRAM of Baba, as suggested by Baba.

36. Wiggers does not specifically teach the memory integrated circuit comprises synchronous DRAM but does teaches the memory may be formed by other memory elements such as ROM, DRAM, or RAM (4/50-54). It is well-known in the art at the time of the invention was made that SDRAM is a common substitute for DRAM/RAM, depending on the application. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to substitute another memory, such as SDRAM, for the DRAM/RAM of Wiggers, as suggested by Wiggers.

As to claim 9:

37. Baba does not specifically teach the memory integrated circuit comprises the switch. It has been found by the court that combining several elements together as an integral unit is a matter of obvious engineering choice, and would be obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art (In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968, USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965)).

38. Wiggers does not specifically teach the memory integrated circuit comprises the switch. It has been found by the court that combining several elements together as an integral unit is a matter of obvious engineering choice, and would be obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art (In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968, USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965)).

Conclusion

39. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/615,326. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the

Art Unit: 2187

earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-3pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Than Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2187